

**GUIDELINES FOR REVIEWERS
„REVIEW OF THE KOPAONIK SCHOOL OF NATURAL LAW“**

The reviewer's role is to evaluate the quality of papers published in the „Review of the Kopaonik School of Natural Law“.

The Editorial Board will take into account the refereed paper review form when making a decision on the publication of a particular paper.

The reviewer should suggest to the Editorial Board one of the possible conclusions:

- Paper should be accepted without any modification.
- Paper should be accepted with relevant modifications.
- Paper should be rejected.

The reviewer should evaluate the following statements:

- The paper is original and innovative.
- The paper topic is relevant and actual.
- The aim of the paper is clearly defined with the content following the aim.
- The paper has contribution to the discipline.
- The paper should be of interest to a large audience.
- The structure of the paper is appropriate.
- The theoretical background was correctly analysed.
- The methodology and/or methods were appropriate for conclusions drawn.
- The results of the analysis were correctly interpreted and/or conclusions were sound.
- References were complete and relevant for the analysis.

Also, the reviewer should classify the paper choosing one of the following categories:

- Original scientific paper.
- Preliminary paper.
- Review.
- Scientific criticism.
- Professional paper.
- Informative article.
- Book review.

There is no limit with regard to length or form of the reviewer's report, but it is recommended to add detailed explanation of any suggestion/comment, i.e. to state the specific arguments on which the suggestion/comment is based.

The reviewer may make suggestions for editorial and technical changes which might, in his or her opinion, improve the text which should be accepted.

The reviewer is obliged to inform the Editorial Board of possible violation of ethical standards.

Finally, the reviewer should keep in mind that all comments may be made available to the author without revealing the identity of the reviewer.